

# PER-dependent rhythms in CLK phosphorylation and E-box binding regulate circadian transcription

Wangjie Yu,<sup>1,2</sup> Hao Zheng,<sup>1</sup> Jerry H. Houl,<sup>1</sup> Brigitte Dauwalder,<sup>1</sup> and Paul E. Hardin<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-5001, USA; <sup>2</sup>Center for Biological Clocks Research, Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3258, USA

**Transcriptional activation by CLOCK-CYCLE (CLK-CYC) heterodimers and repression by PERIOD-TIMELESS (PER-TIM) heterodimers are essential for circadian oscillator function in *Drosophila*. PER-TIM was previously found to interact with CLK-CYC to repress transcription, and here we show that this interaction inhibits binding of CLK-CYC to E-box regulatory elements in vivo. Coincident with the interaction between PER-TIM and CLK-CYC is the hyperphosphorylation of CLK. This hyperphosphorylation occurs in parallel with the PER-dependent entry of DOUBLE-TIME (DBT) kinase into a complex with CLK-CYC, where DBT destabilizes both CLK and PER. Once PER and CLK are degraded, a novel hypophosphorylated form of CLK accumulates in parallel with E-box binding and transcriptional activation. These studies suggest that PER-dependent rhythms in CLK phosphorylation control rhythms in E-box-dependent transcription and CLK stability, thus linking PER and CLK function during the circadian cycle and distinguishing the transcriptional feedback mechanism in flies from that in mammals.**

[*Keywords:* Circadian rhythms; transcriptional regulation; DNA binding; feedback regulation; protein phosphorylation; protein stability]

Received December 22, 2005; revised version accepted January 25, 2006.

The circadian timekeeping mechanism is composed of transcriptional feedback loops in organisms ranging from cyanobacteria to humans (Young and Kay 2001). A common feature of these feedback loops is that positive factors activate the transcription of negative factors, which then feedback to inhibit the positive factors. Once the negative factors are removed, the positive factors can start the next cycle of transcription. Among the best-characterized transcriptional feedback loop mechanisms is that in *Drosophila*, in which the basic helix-loop-helix PER-ARNT-SIM (PAS) transcription factors CLOCK (CLK) and CYCLE (CYC) form heterodimers that bind E-box elements to activate *period* (*per*) and *timeless* (*tim*) transcription, then PER accumulates in a delayed fashion as a heterodimer with TIM and feeds back to inhibit CLK-CYC activity (Hardin 2004). The delayed accumulation of PER-TIM heterodimers is necessary to maintain circadian period, and this delay is mediated by DOUBLE-TIME (DBT)-dependent and possibly casein kinase 2 (CK2)-dependent phosphorylation and degradation of PER (Kloss et al. 1998; Price et al. 1998; Lin et al. 2002; Akten et al. 2003). Continued phosphorylation-dependent degradation of PER by DBT ulti-

mately eliminates PER, thereby promoting the next cycle of CLK-CYC transcription.

The mechanism by which PER-TIM, or PER alone (Rothenfluh et al. 2000b; Chang and Reppert 2003; Nawatthan and Rosbash 2004), inhibits CLK-CYC transcription is not understood. Immunostaining and in vitro DNA binding experiments indicate that CLK (and thus CLK-CYC) is present at constant levels in oscillator cell nuclei throughout the daily cycle (Wang et al. 2001; Houl et al. 2006), which contrasts with the cycling levels of CLK on Westerns (Lee et al. 1998; Bae et al. 2000). PER-TIM or PER inhibit CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes in vitro (Lee et al. 1999), which suggests that rhythmic transcription is mediated by PER/PER-TIM-dependent rhythms in E-box binding by CLK-CYC in vivo. Such a mechanism would contrast with that defined in mammals, in which the CLK-CYC homologs, CLOCK-BMAL1, are bound to E-boxes whether or not they are bound by their feedback inhibitors CRYPTOCHROME (mCRY) or mPER-mCRY (Lee et al. 2001). Rhythms in CLOCK-BMAL1-dependent transcription are regulated by mCRY/mPER-mCRY-dependent histone acetylation; CLOCK-BMAL1 promotes histone acetyltransferase binding to activate transcription and mCRY/mPER-mCRY inhibits histone acetyltransferase binding and/or promotes histone deacetylase binding to inhibit transcription (Étcheberry et al. 2003; Curtis et al. 2004; Naruse et al. 2004). If rhythms in CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes control rhyth-

<sup>3</sup>Corresponding author.

E-MAIL [phardin@mail.bio.tamu.edu](mailto:phardin@mail.bio.tamu.edu); FAX (979) 845-2891.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1404406>.

mic transcription in flies, it would indicate that PER/PER-TIM function is mechanistically distinct from that of mCRY/mPER-mCRY.

Western analysis of CLK abundance in fly heads shows that CLK levels are high when *per* and *tim* transcription is low and CLK levels are low when *per* and *tim* transcription is high (Hardin et al. 1990; Sehgal et al. 1995; So and Rosbash 1997; Lee et al. 1998). This relationship is paradoxical given that CLK is required for *per* and *tim* transcription, but if small amounts of CLK (and thus CLK-CYC) that are free of PER/PER-TIM inhibition do maximally activate *per* and *tim* transcription, they would have to be extremely potent activators. Rhythms in CLK abundance occur in phase with the underlying rhythm in *Clk* mRNA (Bae et al. 1998; Lee et al. 1998) but are not dependent on *Clk* mRNA rhythms, indicating that CLK cycling is regulated at the post-transcriptional level (Kim et al. 2002). This rhythm in CLK abundance coincides with rhythms in PER and TIM abundance and phosphorylation (Ederly et al. 1994; Hunter-Ensor et al. 1996; Myers et al. 1996; Zeng et al. 1996), thus the highest levels of CLK accumulate at times when CLK-CYC is bound to, and inhibited by, hyperphosphorylated PER/PER-TIM (Lee et al. 1998; Bae et al. 2000). Like PER and TIM, CLK is phosphorylated (Lee et al. 1998), thus providing a possible mode for post-transcriptional regulation of CLK levels. In mammals, the levels of CLK are relatively constant, but CLK phosphorylation cycles such that it is hyperphosphorylated when it is inhibited by mCRY/mPER-mCRY and hypophosphorylated when it transcribes target genes in the absence of mCRY/mPER-mCRY, thus correlating phosphorylation with transcriptional activity (Lee et al. 2001). However, the regulation and function of CLK/CLOCK phosphorylation is not well understood in either mammals or flies.


In this study, we show that CLK-CYC rhythmically binds to E-boxes in vivo, which implies that PER/PER-TIM inhibits transcription by preventing CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes. We also reveal that CLK phosphorylation cycles between hypophosphorylated and hyperphosphorylated states, just as CLK does in mammals. Hyperphosphorylated CLK accumulates as PER-dependent complexes containing DBT kinase and CLK are formed, then DBT destabilizes both CLK and PER, thereby coordinating their degradation. After hyperphosphorylated CLK and PER are removed, a novel hypophosphorylated form of CLK accumulates in parallel with the transcription of *per*, *tim*, and other CLK-CYC target genes. These results indicate that PER/PER-TIM and mCRY/mPER-mCRY inhibit transcription by different mechanisms, thus revealing a fundamental difference in feedback regulation between flies and mammals. However, PER/PER-TIM- and mCRY/mPER-mCRY-dependent transcriptional inhibition are associated with rhythms in CLK and CLOCK phosphorylation, respectively, which suggests that phosphorylation of this conserved transcriptional activator is critical for maintaining circadian oscillator function in both *Drosophila* and mammals.

## Results

### *A clock-dependent rhythm in CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes controls circadian transcription*

In *Drosophila*, in vitro DNA binding studies suggest that PER/PER-TIM regulates rhythmic transcription by inhibiting CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes (Lee et al. 1999), which contrasts with the situation in mammals, where mCRY/mPER-mCRY regulates rhythmic transcription by inhibiting CLOCK-BMAL1-dependent histone acetylation without a concomitant reduction in E-box binding (Etchegaray et al. 2003; Curtis et al. 2004; Naruse et al. 2004). To determine which of these regulatory mechanisms operate in flies, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were used to determine when CLK-CYC heterodimers were bound to E-boxes in vivo. Wild-type flies were collected every 6 h during a 12-h light/12-h dark (LD) cycle and subjected to ChIP using CLK, CYC, and control Guinea pig antisera. Fragments containing the circadian regulatory sequence (CRS) E-box from *per* that are necessary and sufficient to drive high-amplitude mRNA rhythms in vivo (Hao et al. 1997, 1999; Darlington et al. 2000; Lyons et al. 2000) were amplified from the immunoprecipitates and quantified. In CLK and CYC immunoprecipitates, the CRS E-box-containing fragments were three- to fivefold more abundant at ZT10 and ZT16 than at ZT2 and ZT22 (Fig. 1A,C), which is coincident with times when *per* mRNA is transcribed (Hardin et al. 1990; Sehgal et al. 1995; So and Rosbash 1997). Similar experiments were done using the upstream E-box from *tim*, which is necessary for high-amplitude mRNA cycling in vivo (McDonald et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2001). As with the *per* CRS, fragments containing the *tim* upstream E-box showed a robust cycle in CLK and CYC immunoprecipitates in line with *tim* transcription (Fig. 1B,D; Sehgal et al. 1995; So and Rosbash 1997). No rhythms in the abundance of an E-box from the constitutively expressed *pigment dispersing factor* (*pdf*) gene were observed in CLK and CYC immunoprecipitates (Park et al. 2000; data not shown). These results indicate that CLK and CYC rhythmically bind E-boxes upstream of *per* and *tim* under LD conditions.

To verify that this rhythm in *per* and *tim* E-box immunoprecipitation by CLK and CYC is under circadian clock control, we performed ChIP assays on wild-type flies collected during constant darkness (DD) and arrhythmic *cyc*<sup>01</sup> and *per*<sup>01</sup> mutants collected during LD cycles. Rhythms in *per* and *tim* E-box immunoprecipitation by CLK antiserum persisted in wild-type flies during DD (Fig. 2A,B), but no binding was seen in *cyc*<sup>01</sup> flies (Fig. 2C,D) and constant binding was seen in *per*<sup>01</sup> flies (Fig. 2E,F). These results reflect the expression of *per* and *tim* mRNAs: rhythmic expression during DD, no expression in *cyc*<sup>01</sup>, and constant expression in *per*<sup>01</sup> (Hardin et al. 1990; Sehgal et al. 1995; So and Rosbash 1997; Rutila et al. 1998). These data imply that CLK-CYC binds these *per* and *tim* E-boxes in a rhythmic manner, and that this rhythm is clock dependent. This in vivo rhythm in E-box binding supports a model in which PER or PER-TIM inhibits CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes. Such inhibition is




**Figure 1.** CLK-CYC heterodimers rhythmically bind E-boxes in vivo. (A) ChIP assays were performed on wild-type flies collected at the indicated times during LD cycles. Relative levels of the *per* CRS E-box present in each chromatin extract (Input) and immunoprecipitates from these chromatin extracts using CLK antibody (CLK), CYC antibody (CYC), and control Guinea pig serum (GPS) are seen as *per* CRS E-box containing PCR amplification products. (B) ChIP assays were performed as described in A, except that relative levels of the *tim* upstream E-box (*tim* UP E-box) are shown. (C) Quantification of *per* CRS ChIP assays. Error bars represent the range from two independent experiments. The gray line represents *per* transcription derived from nuclear run-on experiments (So and Rosbash 1997). (D) Quantification of *tim* UP E-box ChIP assays. Error bars represent the range from two independent experiments. The gray line represents *tim* transcription derived from nuclear run-on experiments (So and Rosbash 1997).

likely to be direct since PER and TIM form complexes with CLK-CYC in vitro and in vivo (Lee et al. 1998, 1999; Bae et al. 2000).

#### *CLK is rhythmically phosphorylated in a PER-dependent manner*

Contrary to expectation, CLK-CYC-dependent activation of *per* and *tim* transcription occurs at times when CLK levels are quite low on Western blots in fly head extracts (Lee et al. 1998). However, head extracts collected at different times of day show similar levels of CLK that are able to bind the *tim* E-box (Wang et al. 2001), and comparable levels of CLK immunostaining are detected in oscillator cells throughout the daily cycle (Houl et al. 2006). These in vitro DNA binding and immunostaining results suggest that CLK abundance does not cycle. The previous CLK Western results can be reconciled with CLK in vitro DNA binding and immunostaining results if CLK is not readily extracted from chromatin when CLK-CYC is bound to E-boxes. To explore this possibility, proteins were extracted from wild-type fly heads using a more stringent RBS procedure (see Materials and Methods). Western analysis using RBS extracts from wild-type flies shows two CLK bands: a slower migrating band that peaks in abundance during the late night and early morning (i.e., in phase with the previously characterized CLK band), and a novel faster migrating band that peaks during the late day and early night (Fig. 3A). Since CLK is known to be phosphorylated (Lee et al. 1998), RBS extracts were treated with phosphatase to determine whether phosphorylation accounted for the slower migrating CLK band. Phosphatase-treated head extracts produced a CLK band that migrated faster than either the slower or faster migrating CLK bands (Fig. 3B). Quantification of CLK levels in wild-type flies shows that CLK is hyperphosphorylated around dawn and hypophosphorylated around dusk, but overall levels of CLK do not cycle in abundance (Fig. 3C). The hypophosphorylated form of CLK was only detected


when a more stringent extraction procedure was used that disrupts chromatin, which suggests that hypophosphorylated CLK is tightly bound to E-boxes. In support of this possibility, hypophosphorylated CLK accumulates in phase with E-box binding by CLK-CYC, whereas hyperphosphorylated CLK-CYC accumulates in phase with PER- or PER-TIM-dependent repression (Fig. 1A). Overall CLK abundance is relatively constant despite a peak in *Clk* mRNA levels around dawn, which suggests that



**Figure 2.** Rhythms in E-box binding by CLK-CYC are dependent on the circadian clock. (A) ChIP assays were performed on wild-type flies collected at the indicated circadian times (CTs) during DD. PCR amplification products representing levels of the *per* CRS E-box bound are presented as described in Figure 1A, except that CLK-CYC binding was assayed exclusively by CLK immunoprecipitation. (B) ChIP assays were performed as described for A, except that relative levels of the *tim* UP E-box are shown. (C) ChIP assays were performed on *cyc*<sup>01</sup> flies collected at the indicated times. PCR amplification products representing levels of the *per* CRS E-box bound are presented as described in A. (D) ChIP assays were performed as described for C, except that relative levels of the *tim* UP E-box are shown. (E) ChIP assays were performed on *per*<sup>01</sup> flies collected at the indicated times during LD cycles. PCR amplification products representing levels of the *per* CRS E-box bound are presented as described in A. (F) ChIP assays were performed as described for E, except that relative levels of the *tim* UP E-box are shown. All ChIP experiments described above were independently repeated with similar results.



**Figure 3.** The state of CLK phosphorylation cycles in a clock-dependent manner. (A) Western containing RBS extracts from wild-type and *Clk<sup>Irk</sup>* fly heads. Wild-type flies were collected at the indicated times, and *Clk<sup>Irk</sup>* was collected at ZT2. Hyperphosphorylated CLK (hyperP-CLK) and hypophosphorylated CLK (hypoP-CLK) run as broad bands at ~150 kDa and 120 kDa, respectively. A nonspecific (ns) band, shown in a longer exposure *below*, indicates the relative amount of protein loaded in each lane. (B) RBS extracts from the heads of wild-type flies collected at the indicated times were either treated (+) or not treated (-) with phosphatase. In addition to the hyperP-CLK and hypoP-CLK bands, a nonphosphorylated CLK (nonP-CLK) band is shown. Extracts from *Clk<sup>Irk</sup>* flies serve as a negative control for CLK. Two nonspecific (ns) bands are detected in these extracts. (C) Quantification of hypophosphorylated (dashed gray line), hyperphosphorylated (dashed black line), and total (solid black line) CLK abundance during an LD cycle. In each case, the peak level within a time course was normalized to 1.0. Results are based on three independent time courses. Error bars represent SEM. (D) RBS extracts from the heads of *per<sup>01</sup>*, *cyc<sup>01</sup>*, and wild-type flies collected at the indicated times were used to determine CLK levels and phosphorylation state.



CLK either is not synthesized efficiently or is unstable at this time.

Arrhythmic clock mutants might be expected to eliminate the rhythm in CLK phosphorylation. Indeed, the rhythm in CLK phosphorylation is abolished in *per<sup>01</sup>* and *cyc<sup>01</sup>* mutants (Fig. 3D). The CLK produced in *per<sup>01</sup>* and *cyc<sup>01</sup>* mutants appears to run more slowly than hypophosphorylated CLK in wild-type flies but remains primarily hypophosphorylated at both time points. For example, 55% ± 6% of CLK runs within the hypophosphorylated range at ZT2 and 54% ± 8% of CLK runs within the hypophosphorylated range at ZT14 in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies, whereas 31% ± 6% of CLK runs within the hypophosphorylated range at ZT2 and 65% ± 7% of CLK runs within the hypophosphorylated range at ZT14 in wild-type flies. Since neither of these mutants expresses PER, this result suggests that CLK hyperphosphorylation is dependent on PER. Unexpectedly, overall CLK levels are 32% ± 10% higher at ZT14 than at ZT2 in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies (Fig. 3D). This phenomenon is also seen in *per<sup>01</sup>*;ARK flies (see below), but the reason for this difference in CLK abundance is not known. The rhythm in CLK phosphorylation persists under DD conditions (Fig. 3D), thus demonstrating that it is a true circadian rhythm. As a whole, these results demonstrate that rhythms in CLK phosphorylation are controlled by the *Drosophila* circadian clock.

#### CLK stability correlates with CLK phosphorylation state


To independently verify rhythms in CLK phosphorylation, we employed transgenic ARK flies that express a *per* promoter-driven hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged version of CLK (Kim et al. 2002). Western blots prepared using RBS extracts from ARK fly heads were probed with an antibody against HA to reveal rhythms in HA-CLK mobility in phase with CLK phosphorylation cycles in wild-type flies (Fig. 4A). As in wild-type flies, phosphatase-

treated head extracts from ARK flies produced an HA-CLK band that migrated faster than either the slower or faster migrating HA-CLK bands (Fig. 4C), thus demonstrating that HA-CLK also cycles between hyperphosphorylated and hypophosphorylated forms. This rhythm in HA-CLK phosphorylation persists under DD conditions but is abolished when the ARK insert is placed in a *per<sup>01</sup>* background, where HA-CLK remains in a hypophosphorylated state (Fig. 4D). These results further demonstrate that the rhythm in CLK phosphorylation is clock dependent.

In contrast to the constant levels of total CLK protein in wild-type flies, the overall levels of HA-CLK cycle in ARK flies with a peak near dusk (Fig. 4A,B). This rhythm in HA-CLK abundance is in phase with that of *per* promoter-driven HA-*Clk* mRNA and, consequently, cycles in the opposite phase as endogenous *Clk* mRNA (Kim et al. 2002). Accumulation of HA-CLK in phase with HA-*Clk* mRNA at dusk contrasts with the lack of CLK accumulation when *Clk* mRNA reaches high levels near dawn in wild-type flies (Bae et al. 1998; Darlington et al. 1998). Since CLK is hyperphosphorylated at dawn and hypophosphorylated at dusk, these results suggest that high levels of phosphorylation destabilize CLK to limit its accumulation when *Clk* mRNA levels are high, and low levels of phosphorylation stabilize CLK so that it can accumulate from declining levels of *Clk* mRNA at dusk in wild-type flies.

#### PER is required for the DBT-dependent destabilization of CLK

Phosphorylated forms of PER accumulate in the nucleus coincident with the accumulation of hyperphosphorylated CLK (Figs. 3, 4; Eder et al. 1994; Lee et al. 1998; Kim et al. 2002). Lack of hyperphosphorylated CLK in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies suggests that PER directly or indirectly activates a kinase that phosphorylates CLK (Figs. 3D, 4D). PER is directly bound by DBT kinase, which phosphory-



**Figure 4.** CLK abundance and phosphorylation cycle in ARK flies. (A) Western containing RBS extracts from the heads of ARK flies collected at the indicated times and *Clk<sup>trk</sup>* flies were probed with HA antibody. Hyperphosphorylated HACLK (hyperP-HACLK) and hypophosphorylated HACLK (hypoP-HACLK) bands are denoted. Note that this gel was not run or exposed as long as those in C and D, thus separation between CLK forms is not as great and weak bands are not as apparent. (B) Quantification of total HA-CLK levels in ARK flies. In each case, the peak level within a time course was normalized to 1.0. Results are based on three independent time courses. Error bars represent SEM. (C) RBS extracts from the heads of ARK flies collected at the indicated times were either treated (+) or not treated (-) with phosphatase. In addition to the hyperP-HACLK and hypoP-HACLK bands, a nonphosphorylated HACLK (nonP-HACLK) band is shown. Extracts from *Clk<sup>trk</sup>* flies serve as a negative control for HACLK. (D) RBS extracts from the heads of *per<sup>01</sup>*;ARK and ARK flies collected at the indicated times were used to determine HACLK levels and phosphorylation state. Extracts from *Clk<sup>trk</sup>* flies serve as a negative control for HACLK. Hyperphosphorylated HACLK (hyperP-HACLK) and hypophosphorylated HACLK (hypoP-HACLK) bands are denoted.

lates and destabilizes PER (Kloss et al. 1998; Price et al. 1998). DBT is translocated along with PER into the nucleus (Kloss et al. 2001), where PER-DBT and/or PER-TIM-DBT complexes bind to and inhibit CLK-CYC (Lee et al. 1998, 1999; Bae et al. 2000). These data predict that DBT is present in the same complex as CLK, and that this DBT- and CLK-containing complex would be PER dependent. We immunoprecipitated EB3-S head extracts from wild-type and *per<sup>01</sup>* flies at ZT2 and ZT14 using DBT antisera and determined whether these immunoprecipitates contained CLK. In wild-type flies, CLK was part of a complex with DBT at ZT2 but not ZT14 (Fig. 5), consistent with the formation of complexes between PER-DBT/PER-TIM-DBT and CLK-CYC. CLK is not part of a complex with DBT in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies (Fig. 5), indicating that the formation of such complexes is indeed dependent on PER.


Since DBT is present in the same complex with CLK, DBT may act to phosphorylate and destabilize CLK as it does for PER. This possibility was initially tested in cultured *Drosophila* Schneider 2 (S2) cells, which were used previously to show that reducing DBT expression increases PER abundance and increasing DBT expression stimulates PER phosphorylation (Ko et al. 2002; Nawatthan and Rosbash 2004). S2 cells were treated with either *dbt* RNA interference (RNAi) to reduce DBT levels or control *luciferase* (*luc*) RNAi and then transfected with different amounts of pAct-HACLK. CLK phosphorylation and abundance were measured 48 h after transfection since DBT was virtually eliminated by *dbt* RNAi at this time (Fig. 6A). Cells treated with *luc* RNAi and transfected with pAct-HACLK had low levels of CLK compared with cells treated with *dbt* RNAi and transfected with pAct-HACLK (Fig. 6B). This difference was most apparent at the lowest concentration of transfected pAct-HACLK, presumably because higher levels of pAct-HACLK produced saturating levels of CLK even in the *luc* RNAi control. This DBT-dependent reduction in CLK levels suggests that DBT destabilizes CLK. Since PER is necessary for DBT to form complexes with CLK in

the nucleus, PER is predicted to be present in cells containing DBT. Although we do not detect appreciable amounts of PER in pAct-HACLK-transfected S2 cells, PER does accumulate when DBT levels are reduced in these cells via *dbt* RNAi (Fig. 6C). This result argues that low levels of PER are present in pAct-HACLK-transfected S2 cells, but can only be detected when PER is stabilized by reducing DBT. PER is apparently generated in pAct-HACLK-transfected S2 cells via HA-CLK-CYC-dependent activation of endogenous *per*.

The increased CLK levels in S2 cells treated with *dbt* RNAi predicts that mutants with reduced DBT activity will also have higher levels of CLK. Among the most severe *dbt* mutants are *dbt<sup>P</sup>*, which has little or no functional DBT and is a homozygous pupal lethal (Kloss et al. 1998; Price et al. 1998), and *dbt<sup>ar</sup>*, which is severely hypomorphic and behaviorally arrhythmic (Rothenfluh et al. 2000a). To determine whether CLK levels increase when DBT function is compromised, CLK levels were measured in RBS extracts from arrhythmic *dbt<sup>P</sup>/dbt<sup>ar</sup>* flies (Rothenfluh et al. 2000a), which are more hardy than *dbt<sup>ar</sup>* homozygotes in our hands. Compared with *dbt<sup>ar</sup>/+* and *dbt<sup>P</sup>/+* controls (Rothenfluh et al. 2000a), the levels of CLK were higher by two- to fivefold (three independent experiments) in *dbt<sup>P</sup>/dbt<sup>ar</sup>* flies (Fig. 6D). Importantly, CLK appears to be predominantly hyperphosphorylated.



**Figure 5.** DBT forms PER-dependent complexes with CLK. EB3-S extracts were prepared from the heads of wild-type (WT), *per<sup>01</sup>*, and *Clk<sup>trk</sup>* flies collected at the indicated times. Extracts were immunoprecipitated with DBT antisera (DBT) or rat serum (RS) or were used directly (input) to prepare Western blots. These Westerns were probed with CLK antiserum to detect hyperphosphorylated CLK (hyperP-CLK) and hypophosphorylated CLK (hypoP-CLK).



**Figure 6.** DBT destabilizes CLK. (A) S2 cells were treated with *luciferase* RNAi (*luc* RNAi) or *dbt* RNAi and incubated for the number of hours indicated. Western blots were prepared using RBS extracts from these cells and probed with DBT antiserum. (B) S2 cells treated with *luc* RNAi or *dbt* RNAi were transfected with the indicated amounts of pAct-HACLk. Western blots prepared using RBS extracts from these cells and from the heads of ARK flies collected at ZT2 and ZT14 were probed with HA antiserum to detect hyperphosphorylated HACLK (hyperP-HACLK) and hypophosphorylated HACLK (hypoP-HACLK). (C) Untreated (-) and *dbt* RNAi-treated (+) S2 cells were transfected with the indicated amounts of pAct-HACLk and pAct-*per*. Western blots were prepared as described in B and probed with PER antiserum to reveal hyperphosphorylated PER (hyperP-PER) and hypophosphorylated PER (hypoP-PER). (D) RBS extracts were prepared from *dbt<sup>tr</sup>/TM3,Sb (dbt<sup>tr</sup>/+)*, *dbt<sup>P</sup>/TM3,Sb (dbt<sup>P</sup>/+)*, and *dbt<sup>P</sup>/dbt<sup>tr</sup>* flies collected at the indicated times. *dbt<sup>tr</sup>/+* and *dbt<sup>P</sup>/+* serve as controls for *dbt<sup>P</sup>/dbt<sup>tr</sup>* flies, and *Clk<sup>Jrk</sup>* serves as a negative control for CLK. The hyperphosphorylated CLK (hyperP-CLK) and hypophosphorylated CLK (hypoP-CLK) bands are denoted.

phorylated in *dbt<sup>P</sup>/dbt<sup>tr</sup>* flies ( $54\% \pm 3\%$  at ZT2 and  $59\% \pm 3\%$  at ZT14), indicating that DBT is not necessary for the majority of CLK phosphorylation. These *dbt* mutant results, along with the *dbt* RNAi results in S2 cells, indicate that DBT destabilizes CLK.

## Discussion

### *Rhythmic transcription via PER-dependent binding of CLK-CYC to E-boxes*

Our ChIP studies demonstrate that CLK-CYC is only bound to E-boxes when target genes are being actively transcribed (Figs. 1, 2). Since PER-DBT/PER-TIM-DBT complexes interact with CLK-CYC to inhibit transcription (Darlington et al. 1998; Lee et al. 1998), these data imply that these PER-containing complexes inhibit transcription by removing CLK-CYC from E-boxes. It is also possible that binding of these PER-containing complexes to CLK-CYC effectively blocks CLK and CYC antibody access, in which case PER complexes would inhibit tran-

scription while CLK-CYC is bound to E-boxes. Given that the polyclonal CLK and CYC antibodies used here were raised against full-length proteins and have been used to immunoprecipitate PER-containing complexes (Lee et al. 1998; Bae et al. 2000), it is highly unlikely that all CLK and CYC epitopes are fully blocked by PER complex binding. Thus, we conclude that CLK-CYC rhythmically binds E-boxes in concert with target gene activation.

PER complex binding could remove CLK-CYC from E-boxes by directly altering their conformation or by promoting CLK phosphorylation. The region of PER that inhibits CLK phosphorylation, called the CLK-CYC inhibitory domain or CCID, is near the C terminus (Chang and Reppert 2003). The CCID can act independently of the N terminus of PER (Chang and Reppert 2003), where the DBT-binding domain resides (Kloss et al. 1998). This observation argues that PER does not inhibit CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes by promoting DBT-dependent CLK phosphorylation. DBT- and CK2-dependent phosphorylation nevertheless enhances transcriptional repression in S2 cells by potentiating PER inhibition (Nawathean and Rosbash 2004) or by inhibiting CLK activity directly. Unfortunately, these disparate results from S2 cells do not allow us to distinguish between the different effects of PER complex binding to inhibit transcription outlined above.

In mammals, mCRY complexes bind to CLOCK-BMAL1 and repress transcription without removing CLOCK-BMAL1 from E-boxes (Lee et al. 2001; Etchegaray et al. 2003). This contrasts with the situation in flies, where PER complexes inhibit transcription by inhibiting CLK-CYC E-box binding, and suggests that these PER and mCRY complexes repress transcription via different mechanisms. Although mCRY complexes do not remove CLOCK-BMAL1 from E-boxes, they repress transcription by inhibiting the CLOCK-BMAL1-induced acetylation of histones by blocking p300 histone acetyltransferase function or introducing a histone deacetylase (Etchegaray et al. 2003; Curtis et al. 2004; Naruse et al. 2004). Even though PER complexes repress transcription by inhibiting CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes, this does not exclude the possibility that rhythms in histone acetylation are also involved in regulating rhythmic transcription in flies. Since chromatin remodeling is generally accepted as a prerequisite for transcription initiation (Orphanides and Reinberg 2002), it would be surprising if rhythms in transcription were not accompanied by rhythms in histone acetylation or some other form of chromatin remodeling.

### *PER-dependent rhythms in CLK phosphorylation*

We have defined a rhythm in CLK phosphorylation in which hyperphosphorylated CLK predominates during times of transcriptional repression and hypophosphorylated CLK predominates during times of transcriptional activation (Figs. 3, 4). This rhythm occurs in parallel to the rhythm in PER phosphorylation (Edery et al. 1994); hyperphosphorylated PER and CLK accumulate in nuclei



during the late night and early morning, then these forms are degraded and hypophosphorylated forms of PER and CLK accumulate in the cytoplasm and nucleus, respectively, during the late day and early evening. The rhythm in CLK and PER phosphorylation are not merely coincidental; the accumulation of hyperphosphorylated CLK is PER dependent (Figs. 3, 4). Although PER is not itself a kinase, it is bound by DBT kinase (Kloss et al. 1998, 2001). PER brings DBT into the nucleus (Kloss et al. 2001), where PER-DBT or PER-TIM-DBT complexes bind CLK-CYC to inhibit transcription (Lee et al. 1998, 1999).

Since DBT enters a complex containing CLK-CYC at times when CLK becomes hyperphosphorylated, DBT may also act to phosphorylate CLK. However, an *in vitro* assay for DBT phosphorylation is not available, thus we do not know whether DBT directly phosphorylates CLK. DBT acts to reduce CLK levels in S2 cells even though PER levels are very low (Fig. 6B,C). It is therefore possible that DBT can act to destabilize CLK in a PER-independent manner, although we believe this is unlikely to be the case since CLK hyperphosphorylation and complex formation with DBT are both PER dependent (Figs. 3D, 4, 5).

CLK is phosphorylated to some extent in the absence of PER and is hyperphosphorylated in the absence of functional DBT (Figs. 3D, 4D, 6D), indicating that other kinases act to phosphorylate CLK. The accumulation of hyperphosphorylated CLK in *dbt<sup>AR</sup>/dbt<sup>P</sup>* flies suggests that DBT triggers CLK degradation subsequent to CLK hyperphosphorylation. A similar situation is seen for PER, where hyperphosphorylated PER accumulates in the absence of functional DBT (Rothenfluh et al. 2000a), and phosphorylation by CK2 precedes DBT-dependent phosphorylation and PER destabilization (Lin et al. 2002; Akten et al. 2003). In addition, rhythmically expressed phosphatases may also contribute to CLK phosphorylation rhythms (Sathyanarayanan et al. 2004).

Rhythms in CLK phosphorylation may function to modulate CLK stability, subcellular localization, and/or activity. CLK levels do not change appreciably throughout the daily cycle despite approximately fivefold higher levels of *Clk* mRNA at dawn than at dusk (Bae et al. 1998; Darlington et al. 1998). If a less stable hyperphosphorylated form of CLK accumulates when *Clk* mRNA is high and a more stable hypophosphorylated form of CLK accumulates when *Clk* mRNA is low, they would tend to equalize total CLK levels over the daily cycle. This possibility is supported by results in ARK flies, which express *Clk* mRNA in the opposite circadian phase (i.e., *Clk* mRNA peak at dusk rather than dawn). The overall level of CLK cycles in ARK flies with a peak in (hypophosphorylated) CLK around dusk (Fig. 4B), consistent with hypophosphorylated CLK being more stable than hyperphosphorylated CLK. This possibility is also supported by DBT-dependent destabilization of CLK in S2 cells since DBT associates with CLK as hyperphosphorylated CLK accumulates in wild-type flies. If hypophosphorylated CLK is relatively stable, higher levels of CLK might be expected to accumulate in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies.

However, constant low levels of *Clk* mRNA likely limit CLK accumulation in *per<sup>01</sup>* flies (Glossop et al. 1999). CLOCK phosphorylation is coupled to its degradation in cultured mammalian cells (Kondratov et al. 2003), yet degradation of phosphorylated CLOCK does not lead to a rhythm in CLOCK abundance even though *Clock* mRNA levels are constant (Glossop and Hardin 2002).

Studies in cultured mammalian cells also demonstrate that CLOCK phosphorylation promotes CLOCK-BMAL1 nuclear localization (Kondratov et al. 2003), although the significance of this nuclear localization is not clear given that CLOCK-BMAL1 binding to E-boxes is either constant or more robust during transcriptional repression *in vivo* (Lee et al. 2001; Etchegaray et al. 2003). In contrast, CLK is nuclear throughout the daily cycle in flies (Houl et al. 2006), indicating that phosphorylation state does not alter CLK subcellular localization.

The coincidence between CLOCK phosphorylation and transcriptional repression in mice supports the possibility that phosphorylation inhibits CLOCK-BMAL1 activity, perhaps by promoting HDAC binding or inhibiting HAT binding (Etchegaray et al. 2003; Curtis et al. 2004; Naruse et al. 2004). Likewise, hypophosphorylated and hyperphosphorylated CLK accumulate in parallel with target gene activation and repression, respectively, in flies. This relationship suggests that the state of CLK phosphorylation may alter its ability to activate target genes. Given that target gene activation occurs when CLK-CYC is bound to E-boxes and that E-box binding coincides with the accumulation of hypophosphorylated CLK (Figs. 1–4), it is possible that CLK hyperphosphorylation compromises CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes and, consequently, target gene transcription is repressed. Precedent for such a regulatory mechanism is seen in the *Neurospora* clock, where limiting levels of FREQUENCY (FRQ) promote phosphorylation of WHITE COLLAR 1 (WC1) and WHITE COLLAR 2 (WC2), thereby inhibiting WC1-WC2 binding to C-box regulatory elements and repressing transcription (Schafmeier et al. 2005). In contrast to FRQ in *Neurospora*, PER is considerably more abundant than CLK in *Drosophila* and forms stable complexes with CLK-CYC (Lee et al. 1998, 1999; Bae et al. 2000). In addition, PER/PER-TIM can release CLK-CYC from E-boxes *in vitro* (Lee et al. 1999), thus demonstrating that PER/PER-TIM binding is sufficient to release CLK-CYC from E-boxes independent of CLK phosphorylation. Taken together with the *in vitro* E-box binding results, the high levels of PER relative to CLK and the formation of stable PER-TIM-CLK-CYC complexes in flies argue that PER/PER-TIM binding may also be sufficient to inhibit E-box binding by CLK-CYC *in vivo*, although they do not rule out a role for CLK hyperphosphorylation in inhibiting CLK-CYC E-box binding. For instance, PER/PER-TIM binding could function to initially remove CLK-CYC from E-boxes, and subsequent CLK phosphorylation could maintain CLK-CYC in a form that is incapable of binding E-boxes.

The constant levels and rhythmic phosphorylation of CLK defined here are similar to those previously charac-


terized for mammalian CLOCK (Lee et al. 2001). This similarity extends beyond metazoans to fungi, where positive elements of the *Neurospora* circadian feedback loop; i.e., WC1 and WC2, are also rhythmically phosphorylated (He and Liu 2005; Schafmeier et al. 2005). In each of these organisms, phosphorylation of positive factors increases when they interact with their respective negative feedback regulators, and decreases when they activate target gene transcription in the absence of these feedback inhibitors (Figs. 3, 4; Lee et al. 2001; He and Liu 2005; Schafmeier et al. 2005). This remarkable similarity suggests that phosphorylation controls one or more critical aspects of positive element function, and consequently, the rhythm in the positive element phosphorylation has become a conserved feature of circadian feedback loops in eukaryotes.

#### Model for the regulation of rhythmic transcription in *Drosophila*

PER-dependent regulation of CLK-CYC binding to E-boxes, PER-dependent formation of PER-DBT and/or PER-DBT-TIM complexes with CLK-CYC, and PER-dependent rhythms in CLK phosphorylation suggest a model for the regulation of rhythmic transcription (Fig. 7). During the late day and early evening, hypophosphorylated CLK-CYC binds E-boxes to activate transcription of *per*, *tim*, and other genes within and downstream of the transcriptional feedback loop. Accumulating levels of *per* mRNA peak during the early evening (Hardin et al. 1990), but PER accumulation is delayed due to DBT-dependent (and possibly CK2-dependent) phosphorylation (Kloss et al. 1998; Price et al. 1998; Lin

et al. 2002; Akten et al. 2003; Nawathean and Rosbash 2004), which destabilizes PER. PER is subsequently stabilized via TIM binding, which inhibits further phosphorylation of PER by DBT (Kloss et al. 1998; Price et al. 1998; Ko et al. 2002; Nawathean and Rosbash 2004). Phosphorylation of TIM by SGG then promotes the translocation of TIM-PER-DBT complexes into the nucleus (Martinek et al. 2001), where they bind (hypophosphorylated) CLK-CYC (Lee et al. 1999) and repress transcription by inhibiting E-box binding and promoting CLK hyperphosphorylation and degradation. These transcriptional repression mechanisms are not mutually exclusive; CLK hyperphosphorylation may inhibit E-box binding as well as promote CLK degradation. DBT is able to enter the nucleus in *per*<sup>01</sup> flies (Kloss et al. 2001), but does not associate with CLK in the absence of PER. This suggests that PER is required to either bring DBT into a complex with CLK-CYC, enable phosphorylation of CLK after DBT enters the complex, or both. Once the TIM-PER-DBT-CLK-CYC complex has formed, hyperphosphorylated PER and CLK levels decline in a coordinated fashion by mid-day. TIM is eliminated prior to hyperphosphorylated PER and CLK via separate light-dependent and light-independent mechanisms (Hunter-Ensor et al. 1996; Lee et al. 1996; Myers et al. 1996; Zeng et al. 1996; Naidoo et al. 1999). As hyperphosphorylated CLK and *Clk* mRNA decline during the day, hypophosphorylated CLK accumulates. This hypophosphorylated CLK forms complexes with CYC and binds E-boxes in the absence of nuclear TIM-PER-DBT complexes, thus initiating the next cycle of transcription.

**Figure 7.** Model for the regulation of circadian transcription in *Drosophila*. PER (blue hexagon) is generated during the early evening, but DBT (purple diamond) binding and phosphorylation (P) and possibly CK2 (green parallelogram) phosphorylation lead to PER degradation (stippled blue hexagon). TIM (yellow hexagon) binds to and stabilizes PER, and phosphorylation of PER by CK2 and TIM by SGG (pink rectangle) promotes nuclear localization of the PER-TIM-DBT complex. Nuclear PER-TIM-DBT complexes then bind to and remove hypophosphorylated CLK (red polygon)-CYC (green polygon) heterodimers from E-boxes (gray rectangle) to inhibit transcription. Coincident with transcriptional inhibition and PER-TIM-DBT-CLK-CYC complex formation, DBT phosphorylates PER and CLK to produce unstable hyperphosphorylated forms of PER and CLK. Degradation of hyperphosphorylated PER (stippled blue hexagon) and hyperphosphorylated CLK (stippled red polygon) and tyrosine phosphorylated TIM (stippled yellow hexagon) release DBT and CYC, and enable the accumulation of hypophosphorylated CLK to begin the next round of E-box binding and transcriptional activation. (Arrows) Subsequent steps in the process; (bar) inhibitory interaction; (wavy line) transcriptional activity; (double line) nuclear envelope.





## Materials and methods

### ChIP

ChIP assays were performed as described (Orlando et al. 1997), with modifications to accommodate fly head samples. Frozen fly heads (~300  $\mu$ L per sample) were gently homogenized in ~3 volumes of NEB buffer (10 mM HEPES at pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Tergitol NP-10). The homogenate was filtered with 100- $\mu$ m nylon mesh to remove cuticle and then centrifuged at 6000g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in NEB and layered to a 0.8 M and 1.6 M sucrose cushion followed by 19,900g centrifugation for 20 min. The resulting intact nuclei were suspended in NEB and cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M, and nuclei were washed three times in NEB. Cross-linked nuclei were sonicated six times for 15 sec in sonication buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.2% Triton X-100) using Artek Sonic Dismembrator (Model 150) set at 0.4. The average size of sheared DNA fragment was ~500 base pairs (bp) on agarose gel. After centrifugation at 15,000g for 10 min, 1/20 volume of supernatants were set aside as input, and the remainder was diluted fivefold in IP buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 60 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100). This diluted fraction was precleared overnight with 70  $\mu$ L of a 50% protein A-Sepharose beads (Amersham) slurry. These beads were prepared by incubating in 35  $\mu$ L of normal Guinea pig serum (GPS) (Sigma) containing 500  $\mu$ L of PBS and three washings in PBS. The precleared supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation for overnight by the addition of 3  $\mu$ L of GPS, anti-CYC (Edery GP-122), or anti-CLK (Edery GP-90) antibodies. Immune complexes were recovered by 2-h incubation with 30  $\mu$ L of poly dI-dC (3  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ Lbeads) blocked protein A-Sepharose beads. Precipitates were sequentially washed twice with wash buffer I (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100), wash buffer II (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100), wash buffer III (10 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate), and 1 $\times$  TE (pH 8.0) and then eluted. All buffers above contained 10  $\mu$ g/mL aprotinin, 10  $\mu$ g/mL leupeptin, 2  $\mu$ g/mL pepstatin A, 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM spermidine, and 0.15 mM spermine. All procedures above were performed on ice or at 4°C except where otherwise indicated. Immune complexes and input were then treated with RNase A and proteinase K, and the cross-linking was reversed as described (Orlando et al. 1997). DNA was purified by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Purified DNA was resuspended in 50  $\mu$ L of 1 $\times$  TE. This suspension was subjected to hot PCR after being diluted at 1:20 for GPS, CLK, and CYC immunoprecipitated DNA and at 1:100 for input DNA. For each 20  $\mu$ L of PCR, 1  $\mu$ L of diluted DNA was used as template.

### Semiquantitative hot PCR

Primers were labeled using  $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P-ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. PCR was performed for 10 cycles using 0.2  $\mu$ M of cold primers, then hot primers were added at a final concentration of 0.5  $\mu$ M for another 10 cycles of amplification. PCR products were purified using QIAquick columns and separated on 6% TBE denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Bands were quantified by densitometry. Background signals from GPS were subtracted in each set from CLK and CYC. CLK or CYC signals were then normalized to inputs. For 6-h time courses, the input-normalized intensity was further normalized to peak level to get relative intensity as shown in Figure 1.

Primers for hot PCR were as follows: for CRS E box, 5'-CCAGTGCCAGTGCAGTTC-3' and 5'-GATGCCAAGTGTCAATCCAAGC-3'; for tim upstream E-box, 5'-GCGGCACGTGTGATTACAC-3' and 5'-ACACTGACCGAAACACCCACTC-3'.

### Western blotting and immunoprecipitation

For preparing RBS extract, ~100  $\mu$ L of frozen fly heads were homogenized in ~3 volumes of RBS buffer (10 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 5 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, 0.4% NP-40, 10  $\mu$ g/mL aprotinin, 10  $\mu$ g/mL leupeptin, 2  $\mu$ g/mL pepstatin A, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM r-nitrophenyl phosphate). Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub> and r-nitrophenyl phosphate were not contained in RBS extract for  $\lambda$  phosphatase treatment. This homogenate was sonicated as described above for ChIP assays, except sonication was repeated five times for 10 sec and then centrifuged at 15,000g for 10 min. The supernatant was taken as RBS extract. EB3-S extract was prepared the same as EB3 (Kim et al. 2002), except that the extract was sonicated as described for ChIP assays following homogenization. Immunoprecipitations were performed as described (Kim et al. 2002) using EB3-S extract and anti-DBT antibody (Preuss et al. 2004). For SDS-PAGE, 120  $\mu$ g of RBS, 80  $\mu$ g of EB3-S extracts, or eluted immunoprecipitates were run on 5% Criterion Tris-HCl gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Immunoblots were probed with anti-CLK (GP-47; incubated at room temperature for 2 h [Figs. 3A, 5] or overnight [Fig. 3B,D] with dilution of 1:2000 in presence of 100  $\mu$ g/mL of *Clk*<sup>l<sup>rk</sup></sup> cytoplasm) or anti-HA (Roche, Clone 3F10) at a final concentration of 1:500 and visualized with ECL (Amersham). Hyperphosphorylated and hypophosphorylated CLK was quantified by densitometry. For each lane, the CLK signal above and below a line drawn equidistant from the hypophosphorylated and hyperphosphorylated bands was quantified. Background was removed by subtracting signal from the corresponding area from *Clk*<sup>l<sup>rk</sup></sup> samples. The signal from each lane was normalized to the same nonspecific band, and relative CLK levels were calculated by dividing the signal in each lane by the peak value for each time course.

### Cell culture and transfection

HA-*Clk* fragment digested from pGEM-HA-*Clk* (Kim et al. 2002) was subcloned into pAc5.1/V5-His B (Invitrogen) vector to generate pAct-HA-CLK. Double-strand RNA (dsRNA) was in vitro synthesized for RNAi treatment in cultured S2 cells essentially as described elsewhere (Kao and Megraw 2004). Briefly, PCR was performed using dbt cDNA clone or pGL3-Basic as templates and primers of the target gene-specific sequences: ds/dbt-N, 16–686; ds/dbt-c, 540–1168; ds/luc, 171–864. Each primer contained a 5' T7 RNA polymerase-binding site (TTAA TACGACTCACTATAGGGAGA). The MEGA T7 kit (Ambion) was used to synthesize RNA from the purified PCR products according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA was annealed and then checked on 1% agarose gel before use. S2 cells were maintained as described (Tanoue et al. 2004). One day before RNAi treatment, cells were seeded (1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells per well) in six-well plates. After washing, the dsRNA was added directly into the serum-free media to a final concentration of 40 nM. The cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature followed by the addition of 2 mL of serum-containing medium. Cells were allowed to recover for 48 h at 24°C before transfections were performed using Cellfectin (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. After further 48 h of incubation, cells were collected in RBS buffer and total proteins were ex-

tracted using RBS procedure for Western analysis as described above for fly heads.

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jeff Hall for providing anti-PER antibody, Jeff Price for providing DBT antibody, and Isaac Edery for providing anti-CLK and anti-CYC antibodies. We are indebted to members of the Hardin laboratory for helpful discussions of results and to Dr. Nick Glossop for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH grants MH61423 and NS051280 to P.E.H.

### References

- Akten, B., Jauch, E., Genova, G.K., Kim, E.Y., Edery, I., Raabe, T., and Jackson, F.R. 2003. A role for CK2 in the *Drosophila* circadian oscillator. *Nat. Neurosci.* **6**: 251–257.
- Bae, K., Lee, C., Sidote, D., Chuang, K.Y., and Edery, I. 1998. Circadian regulation of a *Drosophila* homolog of the mammalian Clock gene: PER and TIM function as positive regulators. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**: 6142–6151.
- Bae, K., Lee, C., Hardin, P.E., and Edery, I. 2000. dCLOCK is present in limiting amounts and likely mediates daily interactions between the dCLOCK-CYC transcription factor and the PER-TIM complex. *J. Neurosci.* **20**: 1746–1753.
- Chang, D.C. and Reppert, S.M. 2003. A novel C-terminal domain of *Drosophila* PERIOD inhibits dCLOCK:CYCLE-mediated transcription. *Curr. Biol.* **13**: 758–762.
- Curtis, A.M., Seo, S.B., Westgate, E.J., Rudic, R.D., Smyth, E.M., Chakravarti, D., FitzGerald, G.A., and McNamara, P. 2004. Histone acetyltransferase-dependent chromatin remodeling and the vascular clock. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**: 7091–7097.
- Darlington, T.K., Wager-Smith, K., Ceriani, M.F., Staknis, D., Gekakis, N., Steeves, T.D., Weitz, C.J., Takahashi, J.S., and Kay, S.A. 1998. Closing the circadian loop: CLOCK-induced transcription of its own inhibitors *per* and *tim*. *Science* **280**: 1599–1603.
- Darlington, T.K., Lyons, L.C., Hardin, P.E., and Kay, S.A. 2000. The *period* E-box is sufficient to drive circadian oscillation of transcription in vivo. *J. Biol. Rhythms* **15**: 462–471.
- Edery, I., Zwiebel, L.J., Dembinska, M.E., and Rosbash, M. 1994. Temporal phosphorylation of the *Drosophila period* protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 2260–2264.
- Etchegaray, J.P., Lee, C., Wade, P.A., and Reppert, S.M. 2003. Rhythmic histone acetylation underlies transcription in the mammalian circadian clock. *Nature* **421**: 177–182.
- Glossop, N.R. and Hardin, P.E. 2002. Central and peripheral circadian oscillator mechanisms in flies and mammals. *J. Cell Sci.* **115**: 3369–3377.
- Glossop, N.R., Lyons, L.C., and Hardin, P.E. 1999. Interlocked feedback loops within the *Drosophila* circadian oscillator. *Science* **286**: 766–768.
- Hao, H., Allen, D.L., and Hardin, P.E. 1997. A circadian enhancer mediates PER-dependent mRNA cycling in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**: 3687–3693.
- Hao, H., Glossop, N.R., Lyons, L., Qiu, J., Morrish, B., Cheng, Y., Helfrich-Forster, C., and Hardin, P. 1999. The 69 bp circadian regulatory sequence (CRS) mediates *per*-like developmental, spatial, and circadian expression and behavioral rescue in *Drosophila*. *J. Neurosci.* **19**: 987–994.
- Hardin, P.E. 2004. Transcription regulation within the circadian clock: The E-box and beyond. *J. Biol. Rhythms* **19**: 348–360.
- Hardin, P.E., Hall, J.C., and Rosbash, M. 1990. Feedback of the *Drosophila period* gene product on circadian cycling of its messenger RNA levels. *Nature* **343**: 536–540.
- He, Q. and Liu, Y. 2005. Molecular mechanism of light responses in *Neurospora*: From light-induced transcription to photoadaptation. *Genes & Dev.* **19**: 2888–2899.
- Houl, J.H., Yu, W., Dudek, S.M., and Hardin, P.E. 2006. *Drosophila* CLOCK is constitutively expressed in circadian oscillator and non-oscillator cells. *J. Biol. Rhythms* (in press).
- Hunter-Ensor, M., Ousley, A., and Sehgal, A. 1996. Regulation of the *Drosophila* protein *timeless* suggests a mechanism for resetting the circadian clock by light. *Cell* **84**: 677–685.
- Kao, L.R. and Megraw, T.L. 2004. RNAi in cultured *Drosophila* cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **247**: 443–457.
- Kim, E.Y., Bae, K., Ng, F.S., Glossop, N.R., Hardin, P.E., and Edery, I. 2002. *Drosophila* CLOCK protein is under posttranscriptional control and influences light-induced activity. *Neuron* **34**: 69–81.
- Kloss, B., Price, J.L., Saez, L., Blau, J., Rothenfluh, A., Wesley, C.S., and Young, M.W. 1998. The *Drosophila* clock gene *double-time* encodes a protein closely related to human casein kinase I $\epsilon$ . *Cell* **94**: 97–107.
- Kloss, B., Rothenfluh, A., Young, M.W., and Saez, L. 2001. Phosphorylation of *period* is influenced by cycling physical associations of *double-time*, *period*, and *timeless* in the *Drosophila* clock. *Neuron* **30**: 699–706.
- Ko, H.W., Jiang, J., and Edery, I. 2002. Role for Slimb in the degradation of *Drosophila* Period protein phosphorylated by Doubletime. *Nature* **420**: 673–678.
- Kondratov, R.V., Chernov, M.V., Kondratova, A.A., Gorbacheva, V.Y., Gudkov, A.V., and Antoch, M.P. 2003. BMAL1-dependent circadian oscillation of nuclear CLOCK: Post-translational events induced by dimerization of transcriptional activators of the mammalian clock system. *Genes & Dev.* **17**: 1921–1932.
- Lee, C., Parikh, V., Itsukaichi, T., Bae, K., and Edery, I. 1996. Resetting the *Drosophila* clock by photic regulation of PER and a PER-TIM complex. *Science* **271**: 1740–1744.
- Lee, C., Bae, K., and Edery, I. 1998. The *Drosophila* CLOCK protein undergoes daily rhythms in abundance, phosphorylation, and interactions with the PER-TIM complex. *Neuron* **21**: 857–867.
- . 1999. PER and TIM inhibit the DNA binding activity of a *Drosophila* CLOCK-CYC/dBMAL1 heterodimer without disrupting formation of the heterodimer: A basis for circadian transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**: 5316–5325.
- Lee, C., Etchegaray, J.P., Cagampang, F.R., Loudon, A.S., and Reppert, S.M. 2001. Posttranslational mechanisms regulate the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* **107**: 855–867.
- Lin, J.M., Kilman, V.L., Keegan, K., Paddock, B., Emery-Le, M., Rosbash, M., and Allada, R. 2002. A role for casein kinase 2 $\alpha$  in the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *Nature* **420**: 816–820.
- Lyons, L.C., Darlington, T.K., Hao, H., Houl, J., Kay, S.A., and Hardin, P.E. 2000. Specific sequences outside the E-box are required for proper *per* expression and behavioral rescue. *J. Biol. Rhythms* **15**: 472–482.
- Martinek, S., Inonog, S., Manoukian, A.S., and Young, M.W. 2001. A role for the segment polarity gene *shaggy*/GSK-3 in the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *Cell* **105**: 769–779.
- McDonald, M.J., Rosbash, M., and Emery, P. 2001. Wild-type circadian rhythmicity is dependent on closely spaced E boxes in the *Drosophila* *timeless* promoter. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**: 1207–1217.
- Myers, M.P., Wager-Smith, K., Rothenfluh-Hilfiker, A., and Young, M.W. 1996. Light-induced degradation of TIMELESS and entrainment of the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *Science* **271**: 1736–1740.
- Naidoo, N., Song, W., Hunter-Ensor, M., and Sehgal, A. 1999. A role for the proteasome in the light response of the *timeless*

- clock protein. *Science* **285**: 1737–1741.
- Naruse, Y., Oh-hashii, K., Iijima, N., Naruse, M., Yoshioka, H., and Tanaka, M. 2004. Circadian and light-induced transcription of clock gene *Per1* depends on histone acetylation and deacetylation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 6278–6287.
- Nawathean, P. and Rosbash, M. 2004. The doubletime and CKII kinases collaborate to potentiate *Drosophila* PER transcriptional repressor activity. *Mol. Cell* **13**: 213–223.
- Orlando, V., Strutt, H., and Paro, R. 1997. Analysis of chromatin structure by in vivo formaldehyde cross-linking. *Methods* **11**: 205–214.
- Orphanides, G. and Reinberg, D. 2002. A unified theory of gene expression. *Cell* **108**: 439–451.
- Park, J.H., Helfrich-Forster, C., Lee, G., Liu, L., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. 2000. Differential regulation of circadian pace-maker output by separate clock genes in *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 3608–3613.
- Preuss, F., Fan, J.Y., Kalive, M., Bao, S., Schuenemann, E., Bjes, E.S., and Price, J.L. 2004. *Drosophila* doubletime mutations which either shorten or lengthen the period of circadian rhythms decrease the protein kinase activity of casein kinase I. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 886–898.
- Price, J.L., Blau, J., Rothenfluh, A., Abodeely, M., Kloss, B., and Young, M.W. 1998. *double-time* is a novel *Drosophila* clock gene that regulates PERIOD protein accumulation. *Cell* **94**: 83–95.
- Rothenfluh, A., Abodeely, M., and Young, M.W. 2000a. Short-period mutations of *per* affect a double-time-dependent step in the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *Curr. Biol.* **10**: 1399–1402.
- Rothenfluh, A., Young, M.W., and Saez, L. 2000b. A TIMELESS-independent function for PERIOD proteins in the *Drosophila* clock. *Neuron* **26**: 505–514.
- Rutila, J.E., Suri, V., Le, M., So, W.V., Rosbash, M., and Hall, J.C. 1998. CYCLE is a second bHLH-PAS clock protein essential for circadian rhythmicity and transcription of *Drosophila period* and *timeless*. *Cell* **93**: 805–814.
- Sathyanarayanan, S., Zheng, X., Xiao, R., and Sehgal, A. 2004. Posttranslational regulation of *Drosophila* PERIOD protein by protein phosphatase 2A. *Cell* **116**: 603–615.
- Schafmeier, T., Haase, A., Kaldi, K., Scholz, J., Fuchs, M., and Brunner, M. 2005. Transcriptional feedback of *Neurospora* circadian clock gene by phosphorylation-dependent inactivation of its transcription factor. *Cell* **122**: 235–246.
- Sehgal, A., Rothenfluh-Hilfiker, A., Hunter-Ensor, M., Chen, Y., Myers, M.P., and Young, M.W. 1995. Rhythmic expression of *timeless*: A basis for promoting circadian cycles in *period* gene autoregulation. *Science* **270**: 808–810.
- So, W.V. and Rosbash, M. 1997. Post-transcriptional regulation contributes to *Drosophila* clock gene mRNA cycling. *EMBO J.* **16**: 7146–7155.
- Tanoue, S., Krishnan, P., Krishnan, B., Dryer, S.E., and Hardin, P.E. 2004. Circadian clocks in antennal neurons are necessary and sufficient for olfaction rhythms in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 638–649.
- Wang, G.K., Ousley, A., Darlington, T.K., Chen, D., Chen, Y., Fu, W., Hickman, L.J., Kay, S.A., and Sehgal, A. 2001. Regulation of the cycling of *timeless (tim)* RNA. *J. Neurobiol.* **47**: 161–175.
- Young, M.W. and Kay, S.A. 2001. Time zones: A comparative genetics of circadian clocks. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**: 702–715.
- Zeng, H., Qian, Z., Myers, M.P., and Rosbash, M. 1996. A light-entrainment mechanism for the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *Nature* **380**: 129–135.